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In this issue ...

The journal looks at ways the congregation and individuals have prepared for the High Holy Days and observed Rosh Hashanah. Archives refer to “S’lichos” services held at midnight on the Saturday before Rosh Hashanah; announcements illustrate how tickets were sold; records show the assignment of seats and aliyyahs, and preparations made to ready the sanctuary for the holiday prayer books and white vestments; Joseph Goldfarb describes the establishment where clergy purchased new liturgical vestments.

Brooklyn Eagle articles inform readers about how Baith Israel observed Rosh Hashanah during the nineteenth century. An article of 1875 compares services at six Brooklyn synagogues. The 1878 item makes observations on the differences between the “Reform” and “Orthodox” Jews. The texts of sermons by Rabbi E.M.Meyers and Rabbi Marcus Friedlander reflect the preaching styles of two Baith Israel rabbis and the concerns of the years 1879 and 1892. The Eagle reported that services in 1879 lasted from ten to noon - considerably shorter than Kane Street Synagogue’s current practice. During the renovation of the Goldman Educational Center a long-locked safe was opened, and among the treasures found was a daily prayer book from 1893. This book “Praises of God, Daily Prayers of the Israelites, has sections for all the festivals and holidays. Apparently, at that time the congregation did not use a special prayer book for the high holidays as we do now.

“Kane Street Memoir” by Rabbi Ray Scheindlin, the synagogue’s Ba’al Mussaf, conveys the spirit of this synagogue as the congregation grew during the past thirty years. Beth Steinberg complements Ray’s memoir with “Melodic Inspirations,” a discussion about the voices who have shaped High Holiday music at the synagogue, including the De Rossi Singers. In “Sound the Great Shofar,” Barbara Zahl-Gringer profiles our shofar blower Rena Schklowsky. Carol Levin writes about the congregation’s tradition to walk together from the synagogue to Fulton Ferry landing for Tashlich, and the story behind how she wrote the children’s book “A Rosh Hashanah Walk.” Fred Terna discusses recent S’licot evening programs held before the midnight penitential services.

For many in the congregation today, the most memorable Rosh Hashanah was five years ago; In 2001 Rosh Hashanah came just a few days after 9/11. The journal features this historic sermon by Rabbi Samuel Weintraub, in which he related timeless lessons from our liturgy to the events of the day.

Many thanks to all who contributed articles to this journal; to the keen readers who helped with its editing; to the Brooklyn Daily Eagle Online™, Brooklyn Public Library; www.brooklynpubliclibrary.org/eagle

L’Shanah Tovah.

Carol Levin, Editor
historicaljournal@kanestreet.org
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ROSH HASHANAH.

The Celebration of the Jewish New Year.

The celebration of the festival of Rosh Hashanah, or what is more generally known as the Jewish New Year, began last evening at six o'clock, or more properly at sundown, in the several synagogues and temples throughout the city. These are six in number, comprising three in the Eastern and three in the Western District. The Congregation Abrahah Acham, of which Rev. Isaac Hess is rabbi, worship in Johnson avenue, near Ewen street; the Congregation Beth Elohim, Rev. Dr. Gross, rabbi, at South First and Eighth streets; the Congregation Beth Jacob, in Tenth street, near South Fifth; the Congregation Beth Elohim, Rev. Dr. Brandenstein, rabbi; the Congregation Beth Israel, Rev. Adolph Bernstein, rabbi, Bœrum and State streets, and the Temple Israel, Rev. R. Lasker, rabbi, Greene, near Carlton avenue. The authority for the festival is found in the twenty-third chapter of the Book of Leviticus at the twenty-fourth verse, and in other passages of the Old Testament. It is looked upon as a season of elevation and spiritual regeneration of the faithful, and happy is he who shall, by the observance of its rites and ceremonies, be fitted to perform more zealously the duties of his religion.

At all the synagogues last night and to-day there were throngs of devout worshippers. The initiatory services comprised the reading of portions of the Old Testament, the recital of prayers appointed for the occasion and the singing of psalms. Sermons were preached and the officiating chazzan, as the reader is termed, awakened the people to the necessity of preparing themselves for the Day of Atonement, which occurs on Saturday, October 9, by the sounding of the shofar or horn.

In the Congregation Beth Israel, the Rev. Dr. Relman preached the sermon, explaining the nature of the festival and exhorting his hearers to works of penitence. A favorite text for discourses on this occasion is found in the words: "Our days are consumed as a tale that is told."

The services connected with the New Year continue throughout to-day and to-morrow, closing at sundown in the orthodox congregations. The Reformed Israelites conclude the ceremonial with to-day. Services were held in the churches this morning beginning at 8 o'clock and continuing until 12. They differed but slightly from those of last night, and included Scripture readings, prayer, a sermon and singing. Evening prayers are begun at 8 o'clock and continue for half an hour. According to the calendar of the Hebrew today begins the year 5,636.
The Jewish New Year.

This evening's sunset will usher into existence the year 5639 of the Jewish era, which is reckoned from the creation of the world as narrated in the Book of Genesis, by Moses, the reputed author of the Pentateuch. Both the orthodox and the progressive Jews, who represent respectively the Pharisees and Sadducees of old, unite in celebrating this festival which, as "the beginning of days," has a special interest and solemnity. The former, however, continue the celebration until sundown on Sunday, while, with the latter, it terminates on to-morrow, Saturday, evening. That day being the Jewish Sabbath, and Sunday the Christian Sabbath, which is a legal holiday, there will be less interruption than usually occurs at this commemoration to the secular business of the week. Although the "Reform" Jews, as they are called, reject as did their predecessors, the Sadducees, some of the canonical writings which are held sacred by the Conservatives, yet we believe that all the Hebrew rabbis and congregations concur in their veneration for the books of Moses and adhere to the traditional chronology which geology and other sciences have done so much to shake and which has been assailed with so much learning and argument by Bishop Colenso and other Christian writers.
Indeed, the pride of race and the hallowed memories of a national unity which existed in the past and which many, both Jews and Christians, tell us is soon to be re-established on the ancient soil of Palestine, render the Jews more tenacious of those festivals which commemorate the creation of Nature and of Man, and of those early favors of Divine Providence which make them, as a people, still ask with the Psalmist, "Is not this Jacob whom I love and Israel whom I have chosen?" No other nation has preserved, as they have, the fasts and festivals of ancient times in their integrity. To-night, the priests will blow the Shophar, or ram's horn, just as they did when the sacrifices were offered in the gorgeous temple which crowned the hill of Sion. The theocratic instinct has never left the Israelite in all his wanderings, whether he was a captive in Egypt in the days of the Pharaohs, or a persecuted outcast in Christian Europe during the Middle Ages. He still worships the Jehovah of his forefathers and rejoices that the God of Abraham, of Isaac and of Jacob is, as the sublimest Jew that ever lived declared, the God "not of the dead but of the living," since all from the patriarchs to the "little Jacob" in "Daniel Deronda," whether sleeping in the dust of Jerusalem or keeping pawn shops in Duke's place, London, "live to Him" in the immortal hope of a national
resurrection. Certainly, although "prophecies " fail," as Paul declared they would, the Jew has less occasion to feel disheartened at the prospects of his race to-day than he had in mediæval times. The counsels of the Israelite are heard in the Senates of Europe; his merchant princes control the financial destiny of nations, and, while literature and art are adored by Hebrew genius, it is to a statesman of this race that the British Empire owes much of its prestige and power to-day. And, in the belief of many who are not deficient in practical intelligence, it is this same Benjamin Disraeli, Earl of Beaconsfield and Prime Minister of England, who is to be the chosen instrument of restoring to their ancient land and pristine glory the brethren of the Rothschilds, the Saligmans and the Montefiores.

Whether these visions of political resurrection are ever to be realized or not, the Jew must still command in his religious aspect the respectful admiration of the world. While Christian civilization is breaking away from the safe moorings of the altar, the family and the home, the Hebrew still honors with as much filial devotion as of old, his father and mother, is a good husband to his wife and a kind father to his children. The unity of sentiment and of affection that prevails in Jewish households, is a sight pleasant to look upon in this changeful world. The elegant culture of the higher families renders the sons and daughters of Israel the intellectual peers of of the best Gentile coteries and the munifi-
cence with which Hebrew millionaires have contributed to humane and unsectarian charities, is a rebuke to the stinginess of many an economical Christian.

Moreover, by such festivals as this of the Hebrew New Year and of that Great Day of Atonement, the most solemn and significant of fasts, which will take place on Monday, the 7th of October, the Jewish church and people stand as a lighthouse amid the centuries and bear witness to the magnificent statements of their own prophets that Jehovah's righteousness shall never be abolished and that because the Eternal "changes not, therefore the sons of Jacob are not consumed." The Aryan festivals that have come down in a fitful and broken way to us through the civilization of Greece and Rome, have no such undying germ of continuity and of history. Witnessing to-day the simple ordinances of the Jewish Church, whether it be a marriage like that of Cana in Galilee, or the sad procession that carries the dead to the last resting place of his kindred, we feel the past come back to us with a simplicity and completeness that no other religious ceremonies carry with them. To the Jews the Christian is indebted for the highest language of devotion, and the deepest utterances of the broken and contrite heart. So long as prayer ascends from earth to heaven, and the psalms of national and personal thanksgiving go forth from human souls to God, so long will religious civilization be debtor to the Jew.
ROSH HASHANAH

Celebration of the Hebrew New Year.

Services in Synagogue Beth Israel—Sermon by Rabbi Meyer.

Today the Jews throughout the world celebrate the beginning of the New Year 5549. In ancient Biblical time the New Year, or “Rosh Hashana,” was not kept as such, but was regarded as a festival which was to proclaim the advent of the seventh month in which the holiest of days, Day of Atonement, and the most joyful of feasts, Feast of Tabernacles, were to be observed, and for this purpose the cornet is ordered to be sounded on that day. At a later period, however, when it was felt necessary to celebrate a feast at the beginning of the year without introducing a new festival for this purpose, it was found more expedient to connect the celebration of this desired New Year’s day with the Day of the Covenant, as this day being the only festival which falls on the first day of the month, and the precursor of the great Day of Atonement, affords the right mood for those serious meditations which the new year invites. On this day perhaps more than any other, God is regarded as the King and Judge of the world. The people are aroused to a consciousness of His greatness and of their own nothingness, they discover the frailty of life, they acknowledge their proneness to sin and before the throne of grace they humbly confess their failings and transgressions, and implore the divine forgiveness.

This morning, at the Temple Beth Israel, on Boerum place, Rabbi Meyer preached to a large congregation. The exercises, which lasted from 10 o’clock until 11, were very interesting. Most of the members wore the tallit over their shoulders, which is colored according to individual tastes. In the Reformed Church the rabbi alone wears this garment. Around the altar was a fine collection of plants and flowers. The choir chanted and sang several hymns in the Hebrew tongue.
REMARKS BY RABBI MEYER.

Rabbi Meyer then proceeded with a discourse founded on a part of the 31st Psalm, "My times are in thy hands." In the course of his remarks he said:

MY DEAR BRETHREN—You are assembled here to-day to ask pardon for past sins, and invoke future blessings and protection. Do not, I beg of you, mistake the importance of this holy day. It would be an insult to your common sense to suppose that you imagine that this attendance and the few words of prayer you utter can obtain for you grace and pardon from on high. You all know better than that, and would ridicule such an idea if it were presented to you. Yet the conduct of some seems to favor such a theory. There are many who only attend here at this particular season, while Sabbath after Sabbath is neglected and violated, as though the grace and protection of God were not equally needed at all times. Far be it from me to detract from the importance and solemnity of these days, but what I wish to impress is that to be of the effective use for which they are instituted.

CONSISTENCY OF CONDUCT

must be practiced. The Almighty, recognizing our weakness and liability to sin, has appointed these days for repentance and supplication; but penitence cannot be said to exist, nor pardon expected, while the intention remains to commit the same sin immediately afterward. I have before quoted to you the words, "That he who says, 'I may sin because I can afterward repent,' the opportunity of repentance is withheld from him." I need not dwell to-day on the nature of repentance, your own hearts will dictate to each of you what it should be, and what particular sins you have committed, whether they be sins of commission or omission against God or against man. I will confine you, however, to act as reasonable men, and to seek peace with your Maker while the means are granted you, to make your blessed religion one of feeling and love, not of bigotry and superstition, one of vitality and truth, not of hypocrisy and imagination. Let the sound of the cornet and the feeble but earnest words which I have addressed to you awaken you to the consciousness of the sacred influence of these holy days are intended to work upon you, and while they are in their fullest sense "awful days," let them be in the highest degree "days of penitence," days on which you will determine to forsake the evil path, to leave off your evil ways and alone for your past sins; so that we may confidently rely on the
APPROACHING DAY OF ATONEMENT

that our prayers will be accepted by our merciful Father, and that He will answer and say "I have par-
doned."

Merciful God! in Thine infinite goodness thou hast graciously spared us to appear before Thee, and pro-
tected us with Thy grace during the past year. We ear-
nestly beseech a continuance of Thy mercy and protec-
tion, without which we could not for a moment exist, and we entreat Thee to instill into us a spirit of devo-
tion and piety, so as to render us worthy of Thy care.
Lord of the universe! On behalf of the congregation here assembled in Thy holy name, and on behalf of our brethren therewith dispersed, I implore Thy blessing and merciful consideration. May the year just begun be to us and to them one of health, happiness and pros-
perity. May they prosper in the work of their hands, and be satisfied from Thine abundant goodness. Above all, may they be true and good Israelites, and may all
their actions tend to the glorification of Thy holy name. Amen.

The celebration of the New Year will conclude to-
morrow at sunset. This evening services will be held in all the synagogues.
THE JEWISH NEW YEAR

The congregation Beth Israel, at their synagogue on Boerum place and State street, celebrated the Jewish New Year yesterday and by one service to-day. Rev. Mr. Freiander delivered the sermon of the day, in which he spoke of the meaning of the New Year. He also notified the congregation that many subscriptions for the building of a new synagogue had been received from benevolent associations and wealthy Hebrews. He ended his discourse with an appeal in behalf of the Jewish orphans.
SERMONS OF A NEW YEAR

Observance of the Hebrew Holiday at Local Synagogues.
Rabbi Friedlander of Congregation Beth Israel
Preaches on "The True Sacrifice"—The Rev. A. H. Gelsmar Addresses a Fashionable Assembly at the Temple Israel—Services at Beth Elohim.

The celebration of the Hebrew new year, which began at sunset last evening, is now at its height. Services were held in the local synagogues last night and this morning and now that the more solemn rites have been completed, the remainder of the day is being given up to pleasures similar to those in vogue on January 1. Visits are exchanged, the usual congratulations are given and received, followed by wine and cake. The services at the several synagogues were conducted with the usual solemnity. At Beth Israel, over one hundred persons were present, and the service was conducted by Rabbi Friedlander. There was no musical programme, the use of an organ being forbidden by the ancient ritual. Rabbi Friedlander led in the chants and prayers last evening and this morning began the services at 7 o'clock. After the usual duty of reading the scroll, which describes the attempted sacrifice of Isaac by Abraham, which is commemorated by the festival of Rush Hashone, the rabbi delivered a sermon on "The True Sacrifice," taking for his text: Micah viii: 8, "Wherewith shall I come before the Lord and bow myself before the high God? Shall I come before Him with burnt offerings, with calves of a year old? He hath showed thee, O man, what is good; and what doth the Lord require of thee but to do justly and to love mercy, and to walk humbly with thy God?" After describing the primary causes of sacrifice and the proneness of man to gratitude Rabbi Friedlander said:
The great step of religious progress with which our age, the nineteenth century, is marked, is the recognition of science by religion. Religion has become conscious of the importunate yoke which science is rendering to the great work of bringing erring mankind nearer to the ultimate and absolute truth, and, to accomplish her function, religion has recognized science as her necessary ally and correlative, and the civilized world, for all its present state, is deeply indebted to the united power and influence of religion and science. Science has silenced the cry of fallacy, religion has raised the voice of verity. Science has pulled down the armory of cruelty, religion erected in place a court of justice. Science rejected fantastic ceremonies, religion accepted noble motives. Science has denounced abominable sacrifice offered by unclean hands to plese cruel gods, religion has ordained true sacrifice such as are acceptable to the most merciful God and agreeable to human reason and human conscience, viz., sacrifice of affection, of genius, of enthusiasm, of love, of labor, of time and of possession offered on the altar of right, of truth, of justice and of charity. This is the kind of sacrifice which Judaism demands—this duty offering of which characterizes our progressive age. Now as this day opens for us the portal of a new year, before we resume our post in the new field of life, let us, proud sons of modern times, put our own merit in the scales with that of our fathers and see which will outbalance the other. Let us find out ourselves on this day, whether, compared with the past, we boast of progress, profess true religion, and claim charity and justice. You know that our fathers gained for their race the highest rank among the heroes of mankind, and for the annals of their people a most distinguished place in the history of the world. In the countless battles which a whole world in arms arrayed against them, they won the final victory. And by what means? Not by rushing valor and raging fury, but by affectionate devotion, and calm self-denial. They cheerfully held out their warm bosoms as a last rampart to the arrows of their assailants, who attempted to obscure the light of the holy law by the mist of fanaticism, and to suffocate the principles of their grand religion under the cloud of superstition. It is thus the undaunted courage, the divine love, and the sacrifices of the blood and treasures of our fathers for the sake of right, of truth, of justice and of freedom, which entitled Israel to a great share in the social scale of mankind, and for which the world credited to our nation a most important function in the progress of human development and civilization. Let us now turn to our own times, our own duties and our own sacrifices. Let us, on this day of examination, ask ourselves in the language of the prophet, "Where with shall I come before the Lord and how myself before the high God?" What have we sacrificed for the elevation of our spiritual standard and for the furtherance of the cause of Judaism? What have we offered to maintain our reputation as the first on the road of civilization which our fathers established? What contribution have we made toward the keeping up of benevolent insti-
tations, houses of worship and efficient Sabbath schools? It is true that one glance at the material world around us—the great inventions of accommodation, the rapid growth of industrial enterprise, and the wonderful discoveries in physics, chemistry, thermology, electricity and astronomy—one thought of it must bring us to the conclusion that the present is more enlightened and more progressive than the past. But this fact does not answer the question put to us today. Most true as it is, that art and science are essential to the safety, convenience and refinement of cultured society, and undeniable as it is that Israel has largely contributed to the development of the same, yet this is not Israel's special sphere of missionary work. The spirit of progress which made Israel pre-eminently distinguished in the world's combat for civilization and for which the civilized world is greatly indebted to our race, is not the advancement in the outer, but in the inner world, the cultivation of man's conceptions and knowledge of the will and attributes of an absolute, unconditioned, self-existing Creator in whose power lies human destiny, which constitute religion and the development of human conscience, human dutyinduced by human conflict, which constitute ethics. This is the special function of the chosen people. This is the particular mission of Judaism. And the question put to every individual today while standing on the threshold of a new era is, what have you done for the improvement of human life—spiritual life—and for the increase of human happiness—inward happiness? We are asked today, what have we done for the suppression of evil and the general prevalence of virtue? Have we, compared to the past, set a better example of conjugal fidelity, of parental attachment and of filial affection? Have we attended human suffering by assisting the needy, helping the poor and nursing the sick? Have we cultivated in our heart a spirit of brotherly love; have we learned to understand the true meaning of the word of scripture, and the
lofty principles of Judaism; have we kept our
house of worship and religious school in a man-
ner that should reflect honor upon our spiritual
brotherhood; have we sufficiently acquainted
our young people with the true sacrifice our
fathers made in the cause of true civilization of
which we boast to-day so that they will at all times
and on all occasions manifest a pride of their
descent, take pleasure in the study of our history
and an interest in the promotion of Judaism?
We are asked, Have we removed from our heart,
home and synagogue the fictitious belief, the
unfounded principles, superstitions, practices
which made religion irreligious; have we exerted
much effort to prevent the spread of the spiritual
nihilism of agnosticism and atheism; in short,
have we made a true sacrifice to God in acknowl-
edgment of the inexpressible thanks we owe to
Him for what we are, and for what we have?
This, friends, is a summary of the examina-
tion to which we are put to-day. This is
the list of our duties and functions with the
fulfillment of which alone we can come before
the Lord. And, if we are found imperfect; if we
find in our record any errors or omissions, either
by reason, or ignorance, or negligence, or ambi-
tion, or avarice, or indifference, or want of faith,
let us before we leave the synagogue humble;
ly pray God's pardon for the mistakes of the past
years, and firmly resolve to be truly good, truly
just, truly pious and truly charitable in the year
upon which we now enter. Let us devote
our hearts and souls to the principles of
brotherhood, social fellowships, and hu-
nanity, when, like the gentle in the
Talmud, who, amazed at the integrity of the
rabbi, exclaimed: "Blessed be the Lord God of
Simon ben Sochach," the entire civilized world
will exclaim, "Blessed be the Lord God of Israel."
All mankind will come before the one God of
Israel with a true sacrifice such as is prescribed
by the prophet in our text, viz.: Deeds of jus-
tice, love and mercy; true religion will be universally
understood, the divine ideal will be reached,
Israel's mission will be completed and God's
promise will be fulfilled.
I never meant to become a rabbi. What I wanted to be—and what I am—was a Hebrew scholar; but in 1961, when I graduated college, the only way to learn to be that was to go to rabbinical school. Being a product of a Conservative synagogue and of Camp Ramah, it was natural for me to go to the Jewish Theological Seminary after college. (How I was to make a living as a Hebrew scholar was something that worried my parents, but not me.) While at JTS, I began a doctoral program at Columbia in a related but better-established academic field—Arabic—which I imagined would qualify me for a university position. But in the course of the 1960s, Jewish studies itself became a recognized academic field, and thanks to my rabbinical school credentials, I was able to get a position in the brand-new Jewish studies program of McGill University.

Five years later, having been invited to join the faculty at JTS, I moved with my young family to Brooklyn Heights, and first entered the Kane Street shul on a Saturday morning in June 1974. At the pulpit was Rabbi Elliot Rosen, whose tenure at Kane Street was due to conclude a couple of weeks later. Scattered among the pews of the imposing sanctuary were about twenty people: a sprinkling of “immortals”—men and women who, I would learn, had attended the shul since its golden age in the 1930s; a few of the brownstoners who had already initiated the shul’s revival; and the Torah reader, a young man hired to walk from his home in Williamsburg to Cobble Hill each week for this purpose. It was not an altogether encouraging scene, but there was a friendliness and an appealing informality. I felt right at home.

The shul was obviously a struggling operation, and I realized I could save it some money by reading the Torah myself. I began reading the Torah regularly in September, when the new rabbi, Shael Siegel, came onto the scene, and did it nearly every week for about seven years. On the High Holidays of my first year at Kane Street, a hired cantorial student made an appearance; I resolved to save the shul his fee by volunteering to be the cantor for the following year; thus I first “did” the High Holidays at Kane Street in 1975, and have done so ever since. (Being the cantor on the High Holidays permitted me to live out a childhood fantasy, as I have explained elsewhere.) Before long, I found myself serving as a trustee of the congregation and as the “other” member of the cemetery committee, under the committee’s chairman, Julius Kahn. Mr. Kahn, who had been a member of the shul since the 1920s and had been the shul’s treasurer for decades, provided me with a moment of glory at the annual business meeting in the spring of 1976, when, in his treasurer’s report, he declared that the shul was in the black that year thanks solely to my Torah reading and davvening on the High Holidays. (I continued to serve on a volunteer basis until moving to Manhattan in the 1980s.)

Rabbi Siegel was followed by Rabbi Howard Goren, who was likewise in his first rabbinical position, and in 1979, we were again seeking a new rabbi. The congregation was growing, but we were still not large or prosperous enough to support a full-time, full-grown rabbi. As a member of the search committee who was also on the faculty of the institution that provided the candidates, I was able to see for myself the difficulty of filling the position. The idea grew on some members of the committee that since I was a rabbi, was so active in the shul anyway, and already lived in the community, I might be able to do the job on a part-time basis for a few years.

I had serious reservations about this idea. I loved being an active lay member. Moreover, I had never thought of the rabbinate as my career. I had gotten through rabbinical school without taking a single course in homiletics, pastoral psychology, or education, taking exclusively courses on ancient texts and history. And although I loved Jewish rituals and was steeped in Hebrew books, I had always—even as a rabbinical student—been a freethinker, never feeling myself bound by any single established intellectual or religious system. I was and am still in constant internal dialogue with the Jewish religious tradition, but I had trouble imagining how I could guide a congregation in the ways of Judaism when my own beliefs and attitudes were in a constant state of free development and in many ways diverged from accepted canons. Nor, for all my years of Talmud study, did I believe in the authority of halakhah. There were lots of reasons for turning the job down.

But the position offered opportunity, as well—particularly, opportunity to find out whether I could translate my specialized training in Judaica into preaching and teaching that would be suitable for a college-educated laity traditional in its public ritual practices but not, for the most part, committed to traditional doctrines or the consistent observance of traditional religious practices. In most Conservative congregations, the rabbi is the representative of “official” Jewish doctrines and practices to a congregation that expects him to be different from themselves in this regard. I would be in the unusual position of being rabbi to my fellow congregants, my friends and peers, a congregation made up of people like myself in most respects except for my having a more advanced Jewish education. They would not expect me to be anything but myself. That would give me a rare degree of freedom. In exchange, I would have a responsibility: accustomed to teaching ancient and medieval texts for their own sake and to explicating the meaning they had for their ancient and medieval authors and readers, I would have to figure out how to teach Jewish books, ideas, and rituals in such a way as to offer
contemporary meaning to my congregants. But what meaning was available to me, a freethinker, to teach? The challenge of figuring that out seemed worthwhile.

On a practical level, I was also apprehensive about subjecting myself to the pressure of adding a part-time position at Kane Street to my full-time position at JTS. The board helped by defining my duties in such a way that I was able to handle them, and individual members were very cooperative throughout my tenure in filling in whenever necessary. My life became more crowded with activity, but I don’t remember being overwhelmed except on rare occasions. The generosity of congregants in volunteering their services to the community—a strong Kane Street tradition to this day—was ultimately what made it possible for me to handle the position, my JTS work, and my family responsibilities.

The small size of the congregation also helped me. Between 1974 and 1979, we had grown considerably, but we were nowhere near our size today. I can measure the growth since then by my memory of the first Rosh Hashanah after I became rabbi. Since I continued to serve as High Holiday cantor throughout my rabbinical tenure, my back was to the congregation during Musaf. When I turned around at the end of the service on the first day of Rosh Hashanah, I was astonished to see the downstairs pews full. I had never seen so many people in the shul before, and I couldn’t help commenting on this when making the final announcements by saying, “Next year in the balcony!” People laughed, for the balcony hadn’t been used in decades; it was still piled with old books, furniture, and boxes of Sunday School song books composed by Rabbi Goldfarb in the 1920s—but of course today, the balcony is not only in full use but is often itself full.

At that time, most of the congregation’s children were quite young, but we were just beginning to have regular bar and bat mitzvahs, which involved me in a certain amount of tutoring. There was a bris or two (where the rabbi is not the principal officiant) and a number of weddings; one of my greatest High Holiday pleasures is the sight of some of the couples I married still attending Kane Street, now with grown children. Rabbi Henry Michelman told me that when he was rabbi, in the 1960s, he was constantly performing funerals, but the generation of brownstoners who dominated in my time was so young that only three funerals came up in my three-year tenure, and one was not even for a congregant but for the father of a nonaffiliated friend. Fortunately, the funeral of the noncongregant was my first—I had to make an emergency phone call to my JTS colleague who taught practical rabbinics for a hasty lesson in funeral practice!—and it prepared me for the other two.

Most of my work consisted of speaking at services on Sabbaths and festivals. My Saturday morning talks—I hesitate to call them sermons because they were so free—gave me much concern. At first, I would isolate myself for on Fridays in my room and doodle notes on a pad, getting nowhere as the hours passed. Eventually, I realized that if I would just read through the week’s Torah reading and brood on it, something would eventually come to me that I could use. My approach came to be to use literary tools on the sacred texts to show what beauty, wisdom, or interest could be observed in them if they were analyzed in their own terms and subjected to the criterion of personal experience. What did not work for me was to try to construct a sermon by expounding a text with a view toward extracting a useful moral. I found I had more success with sermons if I simply allowed myself (and thereby the congregation) to notice what was noteworthy and could have some personal application. I came to see myself as a guide rather than as a preacher.

Once I found my stride, the text of the Torah itself provided me with plenty of material—in the first year. But in the second year, I found myself in danger of repeating myself. For further inspiration, I found I had more success with sermons if I simply allowed myself (and thereby the congregation) to notice what was noteworthy and could have some personal application. I came to see myself as a guide rather than as a preacher.

Most of my work consisted of speaking at services on Sabbaths and festivals. My Saturday morning talks—I hesitate to call them sermons because they were so free—gave me much concern. At first, I would isolate myself for on Fridays in my room and doodle notes on a pad, getting nowhere as the hours passed. Eventually, I realized that if I would just read through the week’s Torah reading and brood on it, something would eventually come to me that I could use. My approach came to be to use literary tools on the sacred texts to show what beauty, wisdom, or interest could be observed in them if they were analyzed in their own terms and subjected to the criterion of personal experience. What did not work for me was to try to construct a sermon by expounding a text with a view toward extracting a useful moral. I found I had more success with sermons if I simply allowed myself (and thereby the congregation) to notice what was noteworthy and could have some personal application. I came to see myself as a guide rather than as a preacher.

I made it a principle never to tell anyone to do anything—except to learn Hebrew and to study the traditional books. On the one or two occasions when I fell into making an exception to this principle, my voice sounded false to my own ears. Not every congregant was completely happy with this policy; one castigated me for not engaging sufficiently with theological problems, and another for being aloof from political subjects. But I did what I felt I could do best.

My brief rabbinical experience transformed my teaching at JTS, giving me a completely different idea of what and how rabbinical students need to be taught. I began making a clear differentiation between rabbinical school teaching and my graduate school teaching, both as to the contents of the syllabi, my own style of presentation, and the kinds of assignments and testing I required. I continue to argue for this differentiation within my department (the Department of
Jewish Literature) and within the institution. As provost of JTS in the mid-eighties, I instituted faculty discussions on the subject, but many of my colleagues remain unconvinced a quarter of a century later.

The pulpit also trained me in a looser, more personal form of presentation than the academic lecture. While this subjectivism is inappropriate as training for graduate students, in rabbinical school classes I am no longer afraid to draw upon my life experience in explicating texts. In teaching my academic subject to rabbinical students, I try to show them by example how these materials can be used with a lay audience, and I hope that some of these techniques rub off on the other subjects that they study while they are with us.

Being rabbi brought me into close contact with many people whom I would otherwise hardly have known and gave me greater insight into the lives of people whom I thought I already knew pretty well. It was also interesting to deal with individuals who were not part of the congregation but who sought out a rabbi for advice or information. It pleased me that in a society replete with professional counselors such as social workers, psychologists, and psychiatrists, so many people sought out a clergyman for counseling based simply on his own wits as informed by tradition. Occasionally, I did find a traditional perspective helpful in such counseling, but many cases made me wish I had taken those pastoral psychology courses when I was a rabbinical student, and I referred them to the appropriate professionals.

I certainly enjoyed being the rabbi of the Kane Street shul, but being the ex-rabbi also has its satisfactions. Returning year after year on the High Holidays, I enjoy seeing how the congregation has flourished, making contact again with many people with whose lives my own crossed during my tenure, and catching up with old friends. I am saddened to note the losses—of old-timers, who were our link with the congregation’s past and the pre-immigration history of our community; of younger people who have passed away too soon; and of people who, like me, have left the community, but who, in my memory, are still part of its fabric. At the same time, I am heartened to see so many people I don’t know or whom I know only by sight; these are the people who have joined the community in the years since I left it, the living evidence of the congregation’s vigor and hope for the future. I hope to retain a connection with that future in the years to come.
Cox Sons and Vining, Tailors to the Clergy

Joseph Goldfarb recalls how his father Rabbi Goldfarb prepared for the High Holidays in the 1930s, including the expeditions to purchase liturgical vestments. From a conversation with Joseph on June 6, 2002.

“All the rabbis including the Orthodox rabbis, and the cantors, bearded and unbearded … you know where they all went for their vestments for the High Holy days? Visiting that place in late August before the High Holy days was like no other market on earth. You’d find all the cantors and all the rabbis at a non-Jewish, a Christian firm called Cox Sons and Vine. They were tailors to the clergy. There would be Catholic priests and bishops and Protestant clergymen of all denominations and Jewish officials too. They were in New York someplace in a nice section in Manhattan. And there was some other store that came up in competition with them, also very anglo-saxon. It’s interesting that with all the interest that the Jews had in the garment trade, they didn’t make the gowns for them.

In those years, a gown would cost two or three hundred dollars. Now it’s usually probably twelve to fifteen hundred dollars. [Gowns were] heavy material, heavy clothing, nicely tailored. Hats with a higher or lower crown, whatever kind you wanted … designed to order. And a sweatband because you sweat plenty whenever you’re up there functioning. He didn’t get new vestments every year; he went every two three years. He had hatbands that sweated out or dried out and cracked. Sometimes the gown would get lost or worn.”

Kane Street Memoir
by Rabbi Ray Scheindlin

Rabbi Ray Scheindlin is a member of the faculty of The Jewish Theological Seminary of America, has served as Kane Street's Rabbi, has led our High Holiday services for many years and continues to be our devoted friend.

I never meant to become a rabbi. What I wanted to be—and what I am—was a Hebrew scholar; but in 1961, when I graduated college, the only way to learn to be that was to go to rabbinical school. Being a product of a Conservative synagogue and of Camp Ramah, it was natural for me to go to the Jewish Theological Seminary after college. (How I was to make a living as a Hebrew scholar was something that worried my parents, but not me.) While at JTS, I began a doctoral program at Columbia in a related but better-established academic field—Arabic—which I imagined would qualify me for a university position. But in the course of the 1960s, Jewish studies itself became a recognized academic field, and thanks to my rabbinical school credentials, I was able to get a position in the brand-new Jewish studies program of McGill University.

Five years later, having been invited to join the faculty at JTS, I moved with my young family to Brooklyn Heights, and first entered the Kane Street shul on a Saturday morning in June 1974. At the pulpit was Rabbi Elliot Rosen, whose tenure at Kane Street was due to conclude a couple of weeks later. Scattered among the pews of the imposing sanctuary were a about twenty people: a sprinkling of “immortals”—men and women who, I would learn, had attended the shul since its golden age in the 1930s; a few of the brownstoners who had already initiated the shul’s revival; and the Torah reader, a young man hired to walk from his home in Williamsburg to Cobble Hill each week for this purpose. It was not an altogether encouraging scene, but there was a friendliness and an appealing informality. I felt right at home.

The shul was obviously a struggling operation, and I realized I could save it some money by reading the Torah myself. I began reading the Torah regularly in September, when the new rabbi, Shael Siegel, came onto the scene, and did it nearly every week for about seven years. On the High Holidays of my first year at Kane Street, a hired cantorial student made an appearance; I resolved to save the shul his fee by volunteering to be the cantor for the following year; thus I first “did” the High Holidays at Kane Street in 1975, and have done so ever since. (Being the cantor on the High Holidays permitted me to live out a childhood fantasy, as I have explained elsewhere.) Before long, I found myself serving as a trustee of the congregation and as the “other” member of the cemetery committee, under the committee’s chairman, Julius Kahn. Mr. Kahn, who had been a member of the shul since the 1920s and had been the shul’s treasurer for decades, provided me with a moment of glory at the annual business meeting in the spring of 1976, when, in his treasurer’s report, he declared that the shul was in the black that year thanks solely to my Torah reading and davvening on the High Holidays. (I continued to serve on a volunteer basis until moving to Manhattan in the 1980s.)

Rabbi Siegel was followed by Rabbi Howard Goren, who was likewise in his first rabbinical position, and in 1979, we were again seeking a new rabbi. The congregation was growing, but we were still not large or prosperous enough to support a full-time, full-grown rabbi. As a member of the search committee who was also on the faculty of the institution that provided the candidates, I was able to see for myself the difficulty of filling the position. The idea grew on some members of the committee that since I was a rabbi, was so active in the shul anyway, and already lived in the community, I might be able to do the job on a part-time basis for a few years.
I had serious reservations about this idea. I loved being an active lay member. Moreover, I had never thought of the rabbinate as my career. I had gotten through rabbinical school without taking a single course in homiletics, pastoral psychology, or education, taking exclusively courses on ancient texts and history. And although I loved Jewish rituals and was steeped in Hebrew books, I had always—even as a rabbinical student—been a freethinker, never feeling myself bound by any single established intellectual or religious system. I was and am still in constant internal dialogue with the Jewish religious tradition, but I had trouble imagining how I could guide a congregation in the ways of Judaism when my own beliefs and attitudes were in a constant state of free development and in many ways diverged from accepted canons. Nor, for all my years of Talmud study, did I believe in the authority of halakhah. There were lots of reasons for turning the job down.

But the position offered opportunity, as well—particularly, opportunity to find out whether I could translate my specialized training in Judaica into preaching and teaching that would be suitable for a college-educated laity traditional in its public ritual practices but not, for the most part, committed to traditional doctrines or the consistent observance of traditional religious practices. In most Conservative congregations, the rabbi is the representative of “official” Jewish doctrines and practices to a congregation that expects him to be different from themselves in this regard. I would be in the unusual position of being rabbi to my fellow congregants, my friends and peers, a congregation made up of people like myself in most respects except for my having a more advanced Jewish education. They would not expect me to be anything but myself. That would give me a rare degree of freedom. In exchange, I would have a responsibility: accustomed to teaching ancient and medieval texts for their own sake and to explicating the meaning they had for their ancient and medieval authors and readers, I would have to figure out how to teach Jewish books, ideas, and rituals in such a way as to offer contemporary meaning to my congregants. But what meaning was available to me, a freethinker, to teach? The challenge of figuring that out seemed worthwhile.

On a practical level, I was also apprehensive about subjecting myself to the pressure of adding a part-time position at Kane Street to my full-time position at JTS. The board helped by defining my duties in such a way that I was able to handle them, and individual members were very cooperative throughout my tenure in filling in whenever necessary. My life became more crowded with activity, but I don’t remember being overwhelmed except on rare occasions. The generosity of congregants in volunteering their services to the community—a strong Kane Street tradition to this day—was ultimately what made it possible for me to handle the position, my JTS work, and my family responsibilities.

The small size of the congregation also helped me. Between 1974 and 1979, we had grown considerably, but we were nowhere near our size today. I can measure the growth since then by my memory of the first Rosh Hashanah after I became rabbi. Since I continued to serve as High Holiday cantor throughout my rabbinical tenure, my back was to the congregation during Musaf. When I turned around at the end of the service on the first day of Rosh Hashanah, I was astonished to see the downstairs pews full. I had never seen so many people in the shul before, and I couldn’t help commenting on this when making the final announcements by saying, “Next year in the balcony!” People laughed, for the balcony hadn’t been used in decades; it was still piled with old books, furniture, and boxes of Sunday School song books composed by Rabbi Goldfarb in the 1920s—but of course today, the balcony is not only in full use but is often itself full.

At that time, most of the congregation’s children were quite young, but we were just beginning to have regular bar and bat mitzvahs, which involved me in a certain amount of tutoring. There was a bris or two (where the rabbi is not the principal officiant) and a number of weddings; one of my greatest High Holiday pleasures is the sight of some of the couples I married still attending Kane Street, now with grown children. Rabbi Henry Michelman told me that when he was rabbi, in the 1960s, he was constantly performing funerals, but the generation of brownstoners who dominated in my time was so young that only three funerals came up in my three-year tenure, and one was not even for a congregant but for the father of a nonaffiliated friend. Fortunately, the funeral of the noncongregant was my first—I had to make an emergency phone call to my JTS colleague who taught practical rabbinics for a hasty lesson in funeral practice!—and it prepared me for the other two.

Most of my work consisted of speaking at services on Sabbaths and festivals. My Saturday morning talks—I hesitate to call them sermons because they were so free—gave me much concern. At first, I would isolate myself for on Fridays in my room and doodle notes on a pad, getting nowhere as the hours passed. Eventually, I realized that if I just read through the week’s Torah reading and brood on it, something would eventually come to me that I could use. My approach came to be to use literary tools on the sacred texts to show what beauty, wisdom, or interest could be observed in them if they were analyzed in their own terms and subjected to the criterion of personal experience. What did not work for me was to try to construct a sermon by expounding a text with a view toward extracting a useful moral. I found I had more success with sermons if I simply allowed myself (and thereby the congregation) to notice what was noteworthy and could have some personal application. I came to see myself as a guide rather than as a preacher.

Once I found my stride, the text of the Torah itself provided me with plenty of material—in the first year. But in the second year, I found myself in danger of repeating myself. For further inspiration, I found myself making my starting point Rashi’s
commentary or the haftarah rather than the Torah text itself. By the third year, I was finding it harder and harder to say anything new. It seemed to me like camping: the first night or two, you find firewood nearby, but then you have to roam farther, and in the end, it can take quite a long walk to find a suitable piece of wood. Sometimes I would draw on other Jewish texts, including the medieval poetry that is my academic specialty, if I could find something in it that was relevant. I even used some non-Jewish literary materials. I remember giving a sermon based on Herman Melville’s *Typee*—I no longer remember why. I also remember making it a congregational project one winter to read through Thomas Mann’s tetralogy *Joseph and His Brothers* simultaneously with the parashat that tells his story, and I based some sermons on that. I saw myself not as the bearer of a specific religious message, but as a resource person, someone who had the Jewish tradition at disposal and whose job was to display it attractively, to encourage the congregation to explore it and to make whatever use of it seemed appropriate to them.

I made it a principle never to tell anyone to do anything—except to learn Hebrew and to study the traditional books. On the one or two occasions when I fell into making an exception to this principle, my voice sounded false to my own ears. Not every congregant was completely happy with this policy; one castigated me for not engaging sufficiently with theological problems, and another for being aloof from political subjects. But I did what I felt I could do best.

My brief rabbinical experience transformed my teaching at JTS, giving me a completely different idea of what and how rabbinical students need to be taught. I began making a clear differentiation between rabbinical school teaching and my graduate school teaching, both as to the contents of the syllabi, my own style of presentation, and the kinds of assignments and testing I required. I continue to argue for this differentiation within my department (the Department of Jewish Literature) and within the institution. As provost of JTS in the mid-eighties, I instituted faculty discussions on the subject, but many of my colleagues remain unconvinced a quarter of a century later.

The pulpit also trained me in a looser, more personal form of presentation than the academic lecture. While this subjectivism is inappropriate as training for graduate students, in rabbinical school classes I am no longer afraid to draw upon my life experience in explicating texts. In teaching my academic subject to rabbinical students, I try to show them by example how these materials can be used with a lay audience, and I hope that some of these techniques rub off on the other subjects that they study while they are with us.

Being rabbi brought me into close contact with many people whom I would otherwise hardly have known and gave me greater insight into the lives of people whom I thought I already knew pretty well. It was also interesting to deal with individuals who were not part of the congregation but who sought out a rabbi for advice or information. It pleased me that in a society replete with professional counselors such as social workers, psychologists, and psychiatrists, so many people sought out a clergyman for counseling based simply on his own wits as informed by tradition. Occasionally, I did find a traditional perspective helpful in such counseling, but many cases made me wish I had taken those pastoral psychology courses when I was a rabbinical student, and I referred them to the appropriate professionals.

I certainly enjoyed being the rabbi of the Kane Street shul, but being the ex-rabbi also has its satisfactions. Returning year after year on the High Holidays, I enjoy seeing how the congregation has flourished, making contact again with many people with whose lives my own crossed during my tenure, and catching up with old friends. I am saddened to note the losses—of old-timers, who were our link with the congregation’s past and the pre-immigration history of our community; of younger people who have passed away too soon; and of people who, like me, have left the community, but who, in my memory, are still part of its fabric. At the same time, I am heartened to see so many people I don’t know or whom I know only by sight; these are the people who have joined the community in the years since I left it, the living evidence of the congregation’s vigor and hope for the future. I hope to retain a connection with that future in the years to come.

**Sound the Great Shofar**

by Barbara Zahler-Ginger

*Barbara and her family have been members of Kane Street for twenty-four years.*

Like most traditions in Judaism, there are many reasons offered for the sounding of the shofar. For those sitting in the congregation on the High Holy Days, it can be a remembrance of the sacrifice made by Abraham in lieu of his son Isaac, an awakening call to repent, or a reminder that G-d is the king of the universe, to name but a few of the explanations often given. So, too, there may be multiple reasons that the Ba’al Teki’ah—the person who blows the shofar—chooses to do so. For Rena Schklowsky, who has been enjoying this honor for over fifteen years at Kane Street, it is a truly spiritual experience, the time “when she feels closest to G-d.” It also provides the perfect outlet for her lifelong love of music and childhood dream to play the trumpet. While the road leading Rena to Kane Street and the shofar may have its roots in her childhood, it has been a long and circuitous route.
It’s not surprising to learn that Rena was a music major in college, having grown up surrounded by music. Her older sister plays the flute, and her middle sister, the accordion. Rena’s instrument is the cello, she has been playing it since she was nine and has performed with various chamber ensembles. However, while serious about the cello, from an early age she always wanted to play trumpet–she gushes that, “Haydn’s Trumpet Concerto blew me away.” At summer camp, she almost got her wish, as three summers in a row, she was an assistant bugler. She still has that bugle she took to camp and used to call her fellow campers to attention for reveille and Taps. Certainly sounds like the perfect warm-up for her present gig.

While music clearly is her first love, during her thirty-four year teaching career, most of that time was devoted to teaching subjects other than music. She has taught at almost every level, from early childhood through eighth grade and beyond, and the subjects have run the gamut, from language arts, to science, to Latin, and to physics and computers. Along the way, she earned a music license and briefly taught music at what was then known as the High School of Music and Art.

Rena grew up in an observant, Conservative household and attended the Society for the Advancement of Judaism, in Manhattan, an egalitarian congregation. She studied for her bat mitzvah with her mother. While a teenager, Rena participated in services, but as a young adult, found herself moving away from religion. While she was drifting away, the rest of her family, both her sisters and her parents, renewed their religious commitment by all eventually making Aliyah.

Rena visited Israel for the first time in 1968 and purchased her first shofar there, in Mea Sherim. At the time Rena bought this shofar, she didn’t realize that the mouthpiece had a crack and therefore was considered to be non-Kosher. She is convinced that the shopkeeper in this ultra-Orthodox area only consented to sell to a woman knowing of the defect. Fortunately, she has since purchased additional shofars, all in Israel, that are Kosher.

It wasn’t until 1979, when Rena’s older son, Pablo, was born, that her own religious ties began to reawaken as she realized that she wanted her son not only to be Jewish, but to know what it meant positively to be a Jew. A search for the right synagogue finally led her to Kane Street. Feeling comfortable here, she began attending services on a regular basis, became active in the religious school and the Synagogue Board, and once again became observant. She soon found herself leading youth services.

As Rena became more involved with Kane Street, she spent more time thinking about the shofar, while at school, around the High Holidays, she blew the shofar to help explain the ritual to her students. When she heard a young congregant blow the shofar, at a youth service, that convinced her to act. In 1990, she consulted Bob Weinstein, auditioned, and he told her that they would try to fit her in.

Rena describes the magnificent Yemenite shofar that she uses nowadays as the “Stradivarius” of shofars. But those of us who have been moved by each haunting tekiah, shevarim and teru’ah know that no matter how fine the instrument, it is the very soul of the Ba’al Teki’ah that we are actually hearing. And, Rena is the real thing.

When Rena was asked if her father, who died several years ago, had ever heard her blow shofar on the High Holidays, she became teary-eyed and silent for a moment. She then readily conceded that it had been “amazing” to play for him. So, while it may be that little girl who wanted to play the trumpet up on the bimah, it is really much more. Rena admits that she is “doing it for that ‘Higher power,’” as well as for “family, Father and all who have gone before.” Rena also feels strongly that she is part of a continuum, and though none of us is ready to have her pass the torch, the teacher in Rena is seeking that special someone for whom she can serve as mentor and pass on the gift. Yashir Koach.

Rosh Hashanah Sermon 2001
Rabbi Samuel Weintraub offered this sermon a few days after 9/11.

Dear friends,
Generally, we spend the week before Rosh Hashanah studying Hilchot Teshuva, laws of repentance. But this past week, once we heard of the four disasters, I started to learn other things: I learned that the steel girders of at least the upper floors were not reinforced with concrete so that the building would be light and sway, give a little. I learned that steel melts at 1500 degrees Fahrenheit. I learned that when bodies are in rubble the renal system collapses and exudes harmful toxins threatening those who would seek to rescue. I learned that the Red Cross Headquarters were in the World Trade Center. I didn’t know any of this before. But I also know that I end K’riat Sh’am twice daily in my prayers with Hashe Elokeichem Emet. Emet, G-d is the G-d of truth. We worship a G-d who so values truth that one of His Names is Truth.
And I decided that if I was to stand before you this Rosh Hashanah and preach, it would have to be with absolutely recognition of the horrible, horrible truth, which is before us.

First of course, I got very busy, researching the status of Congregants, counseling, organizing prayer services, directing volunteers, arranging Synagogue security with police, organizing support for parents and young children, keeping up with some of the myriad High Holiday details, preparing our teachers for the feelings of their students. And then the last two or three days, I went back to those texts on Teshuva. They have such a wholesome view of life, of our relationship to G-d and to each other. They speak not very much of vengeance or justice. They speak of tender compassion. They assume optimistically that people are soft, malleable and desirous of improvement. Over and over they insist not on G-d’s severity, but on G-d’s loving kindness. The passages about Teshuva are some of the beautiful and comforting in the entire Talmud. Come and listen to Midrash on Psalm122. … The essential call is always to bring back, to save, to restore, to forgive. How can I understand this optimism now that thousands lie crushed and lifeless.

The Rabbinic writings about Teshuva assume normal social conditions. But they also recognize that catastrophes are precipices to Teshuva. Indeed, it is the sad state of our nature that tragedies can help us to look very deeply inside and clarify our values, strengthen our Yetzer Hov, (good, generous inclination) and return to G-d. One of the first steps, preconditions of Teshuva according to Maimonides is a sense of Charatah, a deep set visceral sense of disquiet, an overpowering realization that my behavior and our world is askew in a very fundamental way. Catastrophes force this introspection.

I am not G-d forbid saying that there can be any rationalization for this unbelievable tragedy which occurred to all of us, but especially now, some five to six thousand families last week. I am saying that we have a tradition that can help us to find meaning even in horror. And a G-d who stands with us B’et Tzrah. Even in the narrow, sorrowful, constricted times. So let us return for guidance to the teachings of Teshuva even this year. One aspect of Teshuva is that it does not deal in small change. It asserts the possibility of basic change. The Rabbis felt that Teshuva G’mura complete repentance was so powerful that it could set the moral clock back. It could turn even the most destructive behavior to kindness and repair. They compared this transformation to a lethal arrow which is shot, but then returns to its quiver free of all suspicion. But to affect this Teshuva, we have to set aside the most normal option that which we exercise daily, and that is denial.

If this tragedy has made us more keenly aware of what is truly valuable in our lives, let us put aside now whatever rationalizations stand in our way and move ahead. If we have been moved by the outpouring of Chessed, altruism by the residents of our city, let us decide now to make that volunteerism, acts of Tikkun Olam, worldly repair, part of our daily, and Synagogue life. If we have felt now in our bones the terror and isolation of living under siege, let us decide now to visit Israel as soon as possible, and let them know that we understand that this is a time when they sorely needs us, not just our dollar, our sympathy or political influence, but Gufeni, our bodies, our presence. If we have had the experience this past week of looking our normal enemies in the eye, understanding how futile our normal conflicts are, then let us resolve this year to put aside the struggle over assets, over status, over old insults, and as Yiddish lovingly puts it, fargin. Open up, give space, understand that our hearts and minds are capable of infinitely greater love and patience than we normally assume. Every argument need not be zero-sum. We do not need to be wed to conflict. If this tragedy has brought us to the Synagogue, to G-d, to prayer then let us remember that Sheera Tefilah, the gates of prayer, are open 24/7 and make this year a year when we truly learn what it is to care for and love each other here and build this precious community.

If our hearts have opened to the experience of being displaced, desperate, let us decide now to feed and heal the hundreds of thousands of people in this city who live daily without shelter, without proper nutrition, scared and vulnerable. If the fight against terrorism must mean war, then let us keep sight first of the moral equation, and not imperil the human life and values which the terrorists seek to destroy. And if it means war, then let us not imagine short, precise strikes which will be neatly closed in a matter of weeks. Let us be ready for sacrifice of our peace of mind, our time, our assets, and G-d forbid even our lives.

The Rabbis in their writings on Teshuva, actually speak in terms of a battle, although thank G-d it is a nonviolent battle that goes on everyday in the heart and soul of human beings. They imagined a battle between what they called the Yetzer Hatov, the good inclination, that which drives a person outward, selflessly, and the Yetzer Hara, the evil selfish inclination, which was often characterized by the aggressive need to aggrandize oneself and dominate others.

This past week, we heard the voice of aggression and violence, and it was often deafening. But we have also heard the voice of compassion and tenderness. There have been many times this past week when I have seen in the action of many of you seated before me today such Rachmunis, such tender care for G-d’s creation, that you managed to cover the horrible cloud of smoke and hate. This coming year, we will need each other every day, to sustain that voice of chesed, of altruism, and kindness so that we and our children can live in a world which is sane and eventually safe. That is our challenge as we look ahead.
And I want to return to the texts on Teshuva, because therein is a very smart strategy which the Rabbis laid out, for strengthening, the Yetzer Hatov in its endless battle with the Yetzer Harah…

We have seen over the past week wonderful assertions of our common humanity here in New York. The events have produced millions of acts of courage and generosity. We have donated blood, sheltered the displaced, counseled children and revived rescuer workers. There is healing which is occurring already through the resonating invisible lines of connection linking us together as human beings and seeker of the divine. In relation to the magnitude of the Churban, this immolation, I know that we feel helpless. We will never bring those who were murdered back to life. But doing Mitzvoi will give us the power to affirm the life that we still have, and ultimately to redeem the world. We are now in a very dark world. There is destruction hanging over everyone’s head. We have known fear and pain and loss. But that is not all there is.

When I was a child, I prayed to G-d to spare me from all the pains that I dreaded. Now I see that that is the prayer of a child. As I man I do not pray for a life without pain. Instead I pray Hahsem Mkor Ch’ahcayim, Baal Hracham O G-d source of life, master of mercy. I know that there is pain in life. I know that we suffer sadness and loss. Give us the strength this year, to overcome despondency, to create life together with those whom we love. Then our loss will not be empty, our pain will not be purposeless. Remind us that we are not alone, that you have a stake in our lives and in our freedom. Zechreinu L’chaim Melech chatz. Remember us so that we may live, You Our Sovereign who delights in life. Inscribe us in the book of life, for your sake, G-d of Life.

Amen

Subjects of S’lichot remarks
by Frederick Terna
Fred has taught adult education at the synagogue for many years. His focus is Jewish thought and the challenges of moral freedom. Issue 16 of this Journal features his art and writings on the Holocaust.

Because of the late hour of S’lichot the community developed the tradition of a brief program and refreshments before entering the sanctuary.

Over a number of years I was given the privilege of speaking on that occasion. It was up to me to pick a subject, and the choice was an easy one. In earlier years I would bring my two projectors and show slides of Art in Jewish Life that seemed appropriate. Eventually I tired of dragging the two projectors, and decided to speak about matters that were on my mind.

These were some of these past topics: Forgiveness, Ethical Wills, Theodicy, Fundamentalism, and Self-Awareness. My aim was a brief introduction that would lead to a discussion. The subject of the 9:30 PM S’lichot program this year was Rituals.

A Rosh Hashanah Walk
by Carol Levin
Carol Levin, a Kane Street Synagogue Trustee, is the editor of this journal. She has been documenting the congregation’s history since 2001.

Rabbi Howard Goren’s announcement about Tashlich on Rosh Hashanah in 1976 was the first time that I had heard of the custom. This symbolic washing away of ones sins and asking for forgiveness, wasn’t my family’s practice, and there had been no mention of the ritual at the New Jersey temple where I was confirmed. I listened with interest. After the long morning sitting in services, the thought of the glimmering East River seemed appealing. The rabbi said they would start at four from the synagogue, but folks could join the group at any point along the route -- down Clinton Street, past the park, down Old Fulton Street to the pier under the Brooklyn Bridge. My husband and I lived close to the pier and planned to meet the group there.
Jack and I got to the water’s edge before the Kane Street contingent. There was a surprising number of familiar faces at the pier, but no one we knew. Willow Street neighbors introduced themselves and wished us a Happy New Year. I looked around and saw another couple from the block, standing by the railing, flinging crackers into the water. We watched the floating squares bob and swirl. The pier was surprisingly crowded with darting children and dog-walkers, and many, many breadcrumb flingers. It felt like this was the-in-place-to-be. The Kane Street group arrived in stages. For some, it was a healthy twenty-block hike. The rabbi distributed copies of the prayers and we stood in a jagged circle reciting them together. Then the casting and atoning began, adults and children alike, each casting and atoning in their own style. Jack and I divvied-up the stale bread between us. My first toss and admission seemed artificial; the next tosses less awkward. I twisted off one piece after another, until there was none.

Fast forward to the early 1980’s ... Our son Daniel was in kitah alef in Prozdor and Naomi still a toddler. In those years, the parents of the kids organized the holiday programs. Some read holiday books; my shifts featured puppet shows and storytelling. For that first Rosh Hashanah, I adapted the old ditty “let’s go on a bear hunt” to “let’s go on a Tashlich trip.” We made a great circle in the old Belth Room on the second floor, some sitting on the floor and others on chairs. Slapping the floor or our laps, we went fast and slow on our route to the water. We remembered times we were bad and times we were sorry. I was amazed to hear what the kids volunteered: the specific things that they did wrong, and the “I’m sorrys” that followed. After several Rosh Hashanah seasons, the raucous sing-song “Let’s go on a Tashlich trip” turned into a children’s book, “A Rosh Hashanah Walk.” Kar-Ben Copies, Inc. Rockville, MD, published the book in 1987. With illustrations by Katherine Janus Kahn that show a group of children wending their way to “our” pier under the Brooklyn Bridge. The New York City skyline includes the World Trade Center towers. The book is dedicated to: “Daniel and Naomi and the children of Kane Street.”

In the years since “A Rosh Hashanah Walk” was written, I’ve heard many personal accounts about Tashlich memories. Brooklyn is blessed with many great spots to cast away ones sins. Joseph Goldfarb and Belle Goldfarb Lehrman recalled that the Goldfarb children always looked forward to their walks. Joseph said, “My father didn’t practice the Tashlich custom. It was something that the kids did in the afternoon. I remember there were some years that my sisters and I went to Prospect Park with a picnic. It was a nice way to spend the afternoon.” Belle remembered sitting on the Brooklyn Bridge for Tashlich. “That was a special date to walk across the bridge and back.”

About the Journal ...

The Synagogue Journal, a one-year online publication designed to highlight prominent individuals and events during the Kane Street Synagogue congregation’s past 150 years.
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